Click to verify



```
The Scramble for Africa is the term that historians use to refer to the expansion of European empires into Africa during the Age of Imperialism. It is referred to as a scramble due to the way in which the European empires. The Scramble for Africa is considered to have occurred from approximately
1870 until the outbreak of World War I in 1914. During these years, almost all of Africa came under the control of the major European powers, including: Britain, France, Germany, Belgium, Italy, Portugal and Spain. The Scramble for Africa unfolded as a series of major events that eventually saw the African continent colonized and then divided by
the major European powers. These events include: European interest in the Suez Canal, Berlin Conference, First Moroccan Crisis, Second Moroccan Crisis, Second Moroccan Crisis, European colonization in South Africa, and the brutal rule of Leopold II in the Congo. There are several main causes to the Scramble for Africa, including: European competition, ethnocentrism,
the spread of Christianity and new innovations. The section below provides a brief summary of these main causes of the Scramble for Africa. European nations were seeking large sections of territory to increase their access to resources and people. In fact, due to the rise of the factory
system, the European nations were in search of territory in order to gain access to more resources, which could be used to develop products in their resources. As such, this led to the Scramble for Africa was the view of racial
superiority that Europeans expressed throughout the 19th century. More specifically, as Europeans travelled the globe and colonized different regions, they came into contact with all sorts of different regions, they came into contact with the people they
encountered, including native Africans. Similar to the belief in their own racial superiority, Europeans also promoted Christianity as superior to the religious beliefs of the indigenous peoples that they encountered, including those in Africa. In fact, Christian missionaries often accompanied early explorers to the African interior, and the spread of
Christianity was a key feature of European imperialism in Africa. For example, the missionary expeditions of David Livingstone were important to increasing European interest in Africa is the new technologies and innovations that helped the European interest in Africa. The next cause of the Scramble for Africa is the new technologies and innovations that helped the European interest in Africa. The next cause of the Scramble for Africa is the new technologies and innovations that helped the European interest in Africa.
example, the steam engine was an important invention that led to other advancements such as the steamboat, steam train and faster into the African interior and were major aspects of the Scramble for Africa. However, likely the most significant European
advantage came in the form of the Maxim gun, which played a vitally important role in Europes success in Africa. In short, the Maxim gun was a machine gun that was invented by Hiram Maxim and could fire up to 600 rounds per minute. The final cause of the Scramble for Africa was the competitive nature and rivalries that existed between the
major European nations in the late 19th century and early 20th century and early 20th century and pushed them to expand their empires of control across the world. This sense of rivalry was so intense that it eventually led to the outbreak of World War I in 1914. As
such, historians considered the rivalries that existed between the European nations in the Scramble for Africa unfolded as a series of main events that included: European interest in the Suez Canal, European colonization in South
Africa, Berlin Conference, First Moroccan Crisis, Second Moroccan Crisis, Second Moroccan Crisis, and the brutal rule of Leopold II in the Congo. The first major event of the Scramble for Africa was the Berlin Conference of 1884. The Berlin Conference of 1884 was called by German Chancellor Otto von Bismarck and lasted from November 15th, 1884, until February 26th,
1885. At the time, Germany was emerging as a colonial power in Africa, which caused tensions with the other major powers, such as: Britain, France, and Belgium. As a result, German Chancellor Otto von Bismarck called for the Berlin Conference as a means of reducing tensions between the European powers and determining how to divide the
African continent between each other. More specifically, the Berlin Conference was made up of 14 nations in total, including: Austria-Hungary, Belgium, Britain, Denmark, France, Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Ottoman Empire, Portugal, Russia, Spain, Sweden and the United States. The goal of the Berlin Conference was to create a set of boundary
lines and create defined territory for the nations involved. What resulted was a mix of approximately 50 African states with irregular borders. African societies were not consulted in this process and sometimes it forced African states with irregular borders.
European and other nations and resulted in a temporary reduction of tensions, it was ultimately a negative experience for the African societies. One such negative experience for the African societies and the Scramble
for Africa. Leopold II gained access to the territory of the Congo due to several key events. First, in 1878 he hired famous explorer Henry Morton Stanley to carry out expeditions into the Congo was the Berlin Conference of 1884. In fact, Leopold II
officially formed the Congo Free State in 1885 and ruled over it as his own private possession. He used his control over the region to as a way of amassing a fortune for himself. The Congo area had valuable resources, such as: rubber, ivory, copper and other raw materials. Rubber was an incredibly valuable resource at the time, as the newly invented
rubber tire was being used in bicycles and early automobiles. It is important to note that he ruled over the Congo as a personal possession. As such, this means that he was responsible for it and not the country of Belgium. In fact, the other European nations granted him control over the Congo on the basis that he would help the Congolese people and
carry out humanitarian work in the area. In reality, he ruled over the Congo Free State in a brutal fashion and his actions terrorized the people of the region. Another important event of the Scramble for Africa was British control over Egypt, which began in 1882. In reality, the British had begun to increase their influence in Egypt in the decade before
by taking on a large financial interest in the Suez Canal. Due to the vast British imperialism in India was a key reason for British interest in Egypt, since the Suez Canal gave Britain a quicker and more direct route to its colonies in the
Far East. For instance, the British began to refer to the Suez Canal as the lifeline of the British Empire. European colonization in South Africa was also important to the Scramble for Africa. The first to establish a permanent settlement in South Africa was the Dutch East India Company, which hoped to create a base from which they could support
European trading ships that were travelling to Far East of Asia. The Dutch East India Company (also known as VOC for Vereenigde Oostindische Compagnie in Dutch) established the settlement in South Africa in 1652 at the Cape of Good Hope, which is a rocky outcropping on the Southern tip of Africa that is on the side of the Atlantic Ocean. The
modern city of Cape Town, South Africa is located at the Cape of Good Hope. The next significant period of European imperialism in South Africa was carried out by Britain, which colonized South Africa between 1815 and 1910. Similar to the Dutch before them, Britain did not necessarily have a large amount of interest in colonizing South Africa.
Rather, they hoped to use the Cape as a settlement from which they service and restock ships that were on their way to India and the Far East. British colonization in South Africa eventually erupted with the major conflict referred to as the Boer War. Finally, with the start of the 20th century, European imperialism in Africa erupted in two major
crises, which historians consider significant to the Second Moroccan Crisis and the Second Moroccan Crisis and the Second Moroccan Crisis was a visit to Moroccan Crisis and the Second Moroccan Crisis was a visit to Moroccan Crisis and the Second Moroccan Crisis was a visit to Moroccan Crisis and the Second Moroccan Crisis was a visit to Mo
March 1905 until May of 1906 and led to increased tensions between the European nation of Morocco and who should have influence over the region. Morocco is a nation located in northwestern Africa. In the early 20th century, this region of
Africa was under the influence of French imperialism. As such, France considered Morocco in 1905 it caused anger to erupt from France. This situation continued until the Algeciras Conference, which was called to settle the disagreement.
The Second Moroccan Crisis (or the Agadir Crisis) began in April of 1911 in the midst of a rebellion against the Moroccan Sultan Abdelhafid. The people of Moroccan Sultan Abdelhafid. The people of Moroccan Sultan Abdelhafid accusations of torture and other terrible acts carried out by his government. In fact, the rebels were so successful that they were able to
surround Abdelhafid in his palace in the northern Moroccan city of Fez. Since France considered Morocco to fall under their imperialistic influence, they sent in troops in to regain control of the situation. This act by France angered Germany, as they resented French success in Africa. Historians consider the two crises in Morocco to be significant
causes to the eventual outbreak of World War I in 1914. However, they were also some of the last major events in the history of the world. It led to both positive and negative outcomes for the people of Europe and Africa. Click here to read more about the
main impacts of European imperialism in Africa. The "scramble for Africa" is also more accurately called the Partition of Africa or the Conquest of Africa or the Conquest of Africa and attempted to colonize it. By the year 1914, around 90% of Africa was under
European rule. Prior to the beginning of the Conquest of Africa, only 10% of the continent was being controlled by Europe. Only three countries were free of colonial rule as of 1914: Ethiopia, Liberia and Somali. A lot of historians have credited the beginning of the scramble to a meeting which has come to be called "The Berlin Conference" held in
1884. The purpose of the conference was to control and regulate how the Europeans would colonize and conduct their trades in Africa. Before the Scramble Prior to their colonization attempts, most European powers were not that interested or even knowledgeable about Africa. In fact, it was the Portuguese who were the first to establish any sort of
meaningful contact along the coast of West Africa. They established several structures including trading posts, fortifications for war, as well as ports. The Portuguese did all this in the 15th century during a period known as the "Age of Discovery", a good two centuries before the scramble. Europeans would seriously start the exploration and mapping
of Africa towards the end of the 18th century. Several explorers traversed the Africa had would not be entirely known until a
remarkable scholarly step was taken. Almost at the end of the Berlin Conference Otto Von Bismarck, the German chancellor at the time, called for a meeting in Berlin in 1884. The purpose of the convocation was to deliberate on colonizing
Africa. Most of the history agrees that the delegates who were present went to do was to lay the groundwork for
the scramble to begin. First, they all agreed on the regulations that would govern the superpowers who was in control of it. The diplomats
elected to leave that region under his control on condition that it would remain neutral to allow for the free trade and the movement of the others. The region close to the water was renamed the Congo Free State. Further regulations for occupy a territory in Africa without explicitly stating its
intentions to the other powers. Also, for any nation to claim any part of Africa, then that nation must have effectively occupied the territory. The purpose of the Berlin conference was simply to prevent war among the superpowers. Their rationale was simple. If they did not sit down and agree on how the different nations would occupy this resource-
rich region, then they would end up fighting among themselves. This would be counterproductive and derail their efforts to deal with any resistance from the locals. It goes without saying that these resolutions were not upheld most of the time. In fact, the quarrels among the colonizing nation were so many that war almost broke out several times.
Reasons for the Scramble of Africa Economic Reasons Sub-Saharan Africa was one of the largest regions of the world that had not been colonized. None of its resources had been tapped by anyone, and it was ripe for development. At the time the "Long Depression" was experienced between the year 1873 and 1896, most countries' economies were
countries realized that to boost revenue, they would need to cut production costs. Many had their eyes on Africa because of the abundance of relatively discounted labor, coupled with very little to non-existent competition topped up with the readily available and cheap raw materials. All these factors made the possibility of even greater profits in
Africa even more plausible. Globally, there also arose an influx in the demand for certain things that were not available in Europe, these materials became depleted, and so Europe felt they needed more. Strategic Positioning of Some Nations There
             nations in Africa that offered massive strategic advantages that had to be taken lest other countries take them. These advantages included materials such as gold and diamonds. They were found in the northern region, in places like Egypt, as well as in South Africa. Besides these two precious stones, the nations offered strategic access to
the world through the sea. Superpowers like Great Britain were under massive pressure to ensure that lucrative and vital markets were under their control. There were many things but crucial among them was the waterway that facilitated movement from the East to the West. The Ismaz of Suez Canal lead to a lot of clashes between the nations who
reading time: 4 minutes The Scramble for Africa is often recognized as the beginning of colonialism and European Control, barring two countries Liberia and Ethiopia. However, debate continues over whether these regions truly escaped colonialism
as they grapple with the same colonial legacies that continue to impact the rest of the the
European sea exploration. In 1821, the United States of America joined Europe by establishing a colony on the coast, an initiative led by the America not created the colony for this purpose. In 1824, it officially became the Colony of
Liberia. In 1847, complete independence was established, and the independent Republic of Liberia was born. After the establishment of the Republic of Liberia as an independent state. The USA, however, did not, until
1862. Despite the establishment of this independent state, it largely remained recognized as an American Colony. Thus, during the Scramble for Africa, the territory was ignored. The expatriated freed slaves became known as American Colony. Thus, during the Scramble for Africa, the territory was ignored. The expatriated freed slaves became known as American Colony.
The settling of these immigrants led to the introduction of American traditions, culture, and religion as foreign as any European power. Much like other colonies, the settlers became an elite ruling minority, occupying land and gaining political power over the indigenous peoples. The rise and fall of American colony. Liberia may have
escaped the 1885 wave of colonisation, but many argue that it should not be seen as a non-colonised country. Before it gained independence, the country was occupied by numerous colonial powers. And the occupation of American immigrants, even though they were former slaves, created a system of settlers marginalizing indigenous peoples, as was
the case across the continent. Ethiopias avoidance of colonization followed a different trajectory. Britain and Italy attempted to colonize the country, but both failed due to the strength of the Ethiopian political structures and military. For most of its history, Ethiopia remained a monarchy. Its various kings and emperors protected the region from
invasion by outsiders, including neighboring Egyptians and Italians. In 1895, disagreements over the contents of the Treaty of Wuchale between Italy and Ethiopia resulted in full-scale warfare. Italy declared Ethiopia an Italian protectorate, which was disputed by Ethiopian leader Menelik II. When Italy invaded the country, they were faced with an
experienced and well-armed army much larger than expected. This first confrontation, titled the First Italo-Ethiopia as an independent state. How did Italy Lose to Ethiopia? The Battle of Adwa became the decisive defeat of Italy during
warfare, Ethiopia was defeated. During the five-year Italian occupation, Ethiopia was merged with Eritrea and Somalia, both Italian Colonies, to formAfrica Orientale Italianaor Italian Emperor Haile Selassie appealed to the League of Nations in 1936
for aid and the reestablishment of Ethiopian sovereignty. However, France and Britain, among many others, recognized Ethiopian forces in driving Italy out. In 1947, following peace agreements after WW2, Italy officially recognized Ethiopian independence and
sovereignty. Ethiopia was not under Italian control for long, yet historians still question whether it should be considered free from colonization. Britain and France formally acknowledged Italys colonial control, even if it was for only half a decade, adding Ethiopia to the list of Western subjugated countries. Others argue that due to no establishment of the list of Western subjugated countries.
a colonial administration, the Italian occupation should be regarded as a military occupation rather than a colonial one. Whether Liberia and Ethiopia were truly free of the scourge of colonial occupation is a question still debated today. However, while their stories are vastly different, Liberia and Ethiopia remain the only countries to escape the
partitioning of Africa in 1885. When theinfamous Zong trialbegan in 1783, it laid bare the toxic relationship between finance and slavery. It was an unusual and distressing insurance claim concerning a massacre of 133 captives, thrown overboard the Zong slave ship. By Philip Roscoe, University of St Andrews. The slave trade pioneered a new kind of
 finance, secured on [] Read More Guerrilla warfare played a huge role in World War II. Partisans in France, Yugoslavia, the Eastern Front and the Philippines have much written about them. Vitally important but lesser known were the Abyssinians (Ethiopians) fighting to eject the Italians from their country. By Ellen Rubin. Control of East Africa was
essential to the Allies as [] Read More Though her brave acts were acknowledged after her death, Wauba Debars grave was later robbed in the name of science. By Megan Stronach, University of Technology Sydney and Daryl Adair, University of Technology Sydney Adair, University One Adair, University On
and names of deceased people. Aboriginal women and girls [] Read More The colonisation of Africa was part of a global European process reaching all the continents of the world. European process reaching all the continents of the world.
started with King Leopold II of Belgium when he involved European powers to gain recognition in Belgium. The Scramble for Africa took place during the New Imperialism between 1881 and 1914. The focus of this lesson will be on the causes and results of European colonisation of the African continent, with special focus on the Ashanti kingdom
(colonised by the British as the Gold Coast, and today the independent Africa in the late 19th century Africa as a continent was majorly undermined and oversimplified. Before colonisation
Africa was characterised by widespread flexibility in terms of movement, governance, and daily lifestyles. The continent consisted not of closed reproducing entities, equipped with unique unchanging cultures, but of more fluid units that would readily incorporate outsiders into the community with the condition that they accepted its customs, and
where the sense of obligation and solidarity went beyond that of the nuclear family. Pre- colonial societies were highly varied, where they were either stateless, run by the state or run by kingdoms. The notion of communalism was accepted and practiced widely; land was held commonly and could not be bought or sold, although other things, such as
cattle, were owned individually. In those societies that were not stateless, the chiefs ran the daily affairs of the tribe together with one or more councils. The colonisation, however, there were many forms of government in Africa, ranging
convenient. Because the iron tools allowed Africans to flourish in their natural environment, they could live in larger communities which led to the formation of kingdoms and states. With this creation came the formation of modern civilizations, common languages, belief and value systems, art, religion, lifestyle and culture. Another unique
characteristic of pre- European Africa was the favouring of oral tradition within these societies. Stories were told and handed down generations in verbal form. This poses a threat to the survival of these stories because certain aspects could be forgotten or told in a different way. National borders were also not much of a concern before colonization
Imperialism, also known as the Congo conference began. In 1884 at the request of Portugal, German Chancellor Otto von Bismark called together the major western powers of the world to negotiate questions and end confusion over the control of Africa. The countries represented at the time included Austria-Hungary, Belgium, Denmark, France
Germany, Great Britain, Italy, the Netherlands, Portugal, Russia, Spain, Sweden-Norway (unified from 1814-1905), Turkey, and the United States of America. Of these fourteen nations, France, Germany, Great Britain, and Portugal were the major players in the conference, controlling most of colonial Africa at the time. Britain, France, Germany, Great Britain, and Portugal were the major players in the conference, controlling most of colonial Africa at the time. Britain, France, Germany, Great Britain, and Portugal were the major players in the conference, controlling most of colonial Africa at the time. Britain, France, Germany, Great Britain, and Portugal were the major players in the conference, controlling most of colonial Africa at the time. Britain, France, Germany, Great Britain, and Portugal were the major players in the conference, controlling most of colonial Africa at the time. Britain, France, Germany, Great Britain, and Portugal were the major players in the conference, controlling most of colonial Africa at the time. Britain, France, Germany, Great Britain, Fr
poverty, homelessness, social displacement from rural areas, etc. These social problems developed partly because not all people could be absorbed by the new capitalist industries. Europe saw the colonization of Africa as an opportunity to acquire a surplus population, thus settler colonies were created. With this invasion, many European countries
saw Africa as being available to their disposal. However, several disputes took place regarding which European country would colonise a specific African country would meet in Berlin regarding the division of Africa, without the presence of Africa. The first meeting at the
Berlin Conference, 1884 Image sourceThe initial task of the conference was to agree that the Congo River and Niger River mouths and basins would be considered neutral and open to trade. Despite its neutrality, part of the Kongo Basin became a personal Kingdom (private property) for Belgiums King Leopold II and under his rule, over half of the
regions population died. At the time of the conference, only the coastal areas of Africa were colonial powers scrambled to gain control over the European powers. At the Berlin Conference the European powers scrambled to gain control over the Interior of the Continent. The conference lasted until February 26, 1885 a three month period where colonial powers haggled over
geometric boundaries in the interior of the continent, disregarding the cultural and linguistic boundaries already established by the Native Indigenous Africa into fifty irregular countries. The Scramble for Africa and the Berlin Conference Causes of
colonisationThe reasons for African colonisation were mainly economic, political and religious. During this time of colonisation, an economic depression was occurring in Europe, and powerful countries such as Germany, France, and Great Britain, were losing money. Africa seemed to be out of harms way and had an abundance of raw materials from
which Europe could make money from. Due to cheap labour of Africans, Europeans easily acquired products like oil, ivory, rubber, palm oil, wood, cotton and gum. These products became of greater significance due to the emergence of the Industrial Revolution. Africas colonisation was also as a result of European rivalries, where Britain and France
had beenin a dispute since the Hundred Years War. These countries became involved in a race to acquire more territory on the African continent, but this race was open to all European countries. Britain had had some success in halting the slave trade around the shores of Africa. But inland the story was different -- Muslim traders from north of the
Sahara and on the East Coast still traded inland, and many local chiefs were reluctant to give up the use of slaves. During the nineteenth century barely a year went by without a European expedition into Africa. The boom in exploration was triggered to a great extent by the creation of the African Association by wealthy Englishmen in 1788, and as
they travelled, they started to record details of markets, goods, and resources for the wealthy philanthropists who financed their trips. With the beginning of colonisation in Africa, morality became an increasing issue. The Europeans could not comprehend the existence of the Muslim Swahili trade which made them want to implement the Three Cs
Christianity, Commerce, and Civilisation. First, Europe experienced a Christian revival in the 19th century. A map of Africa depicting the natural resource that the continent has. Image sourceMissionaries began to focus on the large working class with the goal of bringing spiritual salvation to the workers and their families. The bible was made
available to workers. Due to their large successes, missionaries began to look beyond European imperialism, yet they drew European governments deeper into Africa. In their efforts to preach Christianity, to bring western-style education to Africa and to
ingrain monogamy in African societies, missionaries often felt threatened by warfare within Africa. Hence, missionaries called on European explorers have travelled throughout the African continent in their attempts to discover new things and to chart the African explorers have travelled throughout the African continent in their attempts to discover new things and to chart the African explorers have travelled throughout throug
continent. Trade would be well instantiated; the work of the Suez Canal Company at the north-eastern tip of Africa had been completed in 1869. Lastly, Livingstone believed that civilisation could be achieved through goodgovernment andeducation. The combination of these three elements, Livingstone believed, would end human suffering in Africa
and the ultimate level of civilisation would be achieved within the continent. .Christianity would therefore provide the moral principles that would guide Africans, while education and legitimate governing system was needed to
ensure the civil rights of the people. Patterns of colonisation: which countries colonised which parts of Africa had been colonised by mainly seven European powers attest the conquest of Africa had been colonised by mainly seven European powers attest the European powers at 
enterprise. The French directed their attention to the active economies of the Niger Delta, the Lagos Hinterland and the Gold Coast. Why European Countries were able to colonise African leaders. These kings and chiefs were
competing with each other to be the richest and most powerful within their tribes. During these rivalries, European leaders would take advantage of the situation and persuaded some leaders to be on their side to fight against other leaders would take advantage of the situation and persuaded some leaders would take advantage of the situation and persuaded some leaders to be on their side to fight against other leaders. Natural disasters also played a big role in the rapid and easy colonisation of Africa. In 1895, a serious drought
reached many regions in Africa which was caused by a sudden decline in rainfall. Hardly any crops were produced, and the food shortage which followed caused by a plague of locusts. In addition to this plague, the cattle plague broke outduring the 1890s
which killed cattle, sheep and goats. This led to even more deaths of animals and people, and due to their physical and mental weakness, they were unable to fight against European powers. European powers could easily take control of any source of land by using force and violence. They accomplished this by using more powerful weapons, and had
the advantage of the newly invented machine gun called the Maxim gun which was invented in the 1880s. This gun could fire eleven bullets per second, and outdid the weapons that the African armies did not manage to get hold of European weapons that the African series armies did not manage to get hold of European weapons that the African armies did not manage to get hold of European weapons that the African series are not sold to them. Thus African series are not sold to them.
outbreak of new diseases made an appearance during the late 1890s and the first one was a range of smallpox epidemics. The Europeans who were already in Africa had developed immunity to these diseases due to past experiences of these outbreaks in Europe. The indigenous African population had no immunity or resistance to these diseases and
thus weakened the African population. A large number of the African population thus died out, or became too weak to fight back. Results of colonisation had on Africa can be described as both good and bad. In terms of European political practice in Africa, all colonising countries share similar attributes. Colonial political
systems were un-democratic; Law and Order, as well as Peace, was a primary objective of colonial governments lacked capacity and Colonial governments practiced "divide and rule." Firstly, colonial governments practiced "divide and rule." Firstly, colonial governments and colonial governments and colonial governments practiced "divide and rule." Firstly, colonial governments and colonial governments are colonial governments.
input from the African population. Secondly, the African population was not satisfied with the way that Europeans imposed on their governing system without any proper representation, thus the maintenance of peace under the African population was made an important priority for the colonial government. Thirdly, seeing as most colonial many proper representation, thus the maintenance of peace under the African population was made an important priority for the colonial government. Thirdly, seeing as most colonial government are not seeing as most colonial government.
governments were not rich, they did not fund the governments were not able to provide basic infrastructure, such as roads
and communication networks, nor were they able to provide basic social services such as education, health care, and housing. Lastly, the principle of divide and rule meant that policies that intentionally weakened indigenous power networks and institutions were implemented. Due to the lack of revenue within the colonies, little attention was given to
promoting social change or development. Although all the colonies did not experience the same extent of social change, these colonies share the same characteristics in terms of social change. Firstly, colonial and political practices caused a large scale movement of people. In some areas, migrations were primarily from one rural area to another. In
other places, the migration was from rural areas to urban areas. These movements resulted in dislocation of peoples that impacted society and culture. Social and cultures were completed abandoned, to fit the new colonial circumstances.
Secondly, and partly due to the first consequence, the dislocation of families also occurred. Men mainly left the household to work in mines and on plantations, leaving their wives and children behind. As a result, women and adolescents were forced to take on new roles and to cope in absence of their husbands and fathers. Due to colonialism, the
African family structure had been severely changed. Prior to colonialism, the extended family structure (family consisting of a pair of adults/ parents and their children) was becoming the norm in many African societies, but by the end of colonial era, the nuclear family (family consisting of a pair of adults/ parents and their children) was becoming the norm in many African
countries. Thirdly, urbanization emerged as colonization was imposed. During colonialism, urbanization occurred fairly rapidly in many African colonies. A number of pre-colonial African societies had towns and small cities. However, even in these societies, most people were engaged in agriculture in rural villages or homesteads. Urban living resulted
in changes in economic activities and occupation, and in changes in the way people lived. These changes often challenged existing values, beliefs, and social practices. Fourthly, the religious beliefs of Africans were adapted or changes in the way people lived. These changes of the African population regarded themselves as Christians, and today more than half of the
African population is Christians. Colonial rule provided an environment in which Christianity, in many forms, spread in many parts of Africa. While Islam was widespread in Africa prior to the coming of colonialism. British and French colonial officials actively discouraged Christian mission work in Muslim
areas.Lastly, the public education system of African was also changed. The majority of colonial governments did little to support schools. Most formal schools were essential to their mission. Their primary concern was the conversion of people to
Christianity. Missionaries believed that the ability of African peoples to read the Bible in their own language was important to the conversion process. However, most mission societies were not wealthy, and they could not support the number of schools that they really wanted. Consequently, with limited government support, most African children did
not go to school during the colonial era. In fact at the end of colonial rule, no colony could state that more than half of their children finished elementary school. Colonialisms impact on AfricaCase Study: The Ashanti kingdom The coast of West Africa before the arrival of EuropeansThe city of Elmina, located in
the Gold Coast West Africa, in the late 19th century. Image sourceWest Africans developed an extensive self-contained trading system, based on skilled manufacture. From the 8th century Muslim traders, from North Africa and Arab countries, began to convert to Islam. By the end of the 11th century
Mecca, he took so much gold with him that in Egypt, which he also visited, the value of the metal was debased. Prior to the European voyages of exploration in the fifteenth century, African rulers and merchants had established trade links with the Mediterranean world, western Asia, and the Indian Ocean region. Within the continent itself, local
exchanges among adjacent peoples fit into a greater framework of long-range trade. The Ashanti and their early contact with European traders and explorers and explorers fit into a greater framework of long-range trade. The Ashanti kingdom, or Asante, dominated much of the present-day state of Ghana. It was ruled by an ethnic group called the Akan, which in turn was composed of up to 38 subgroups, such as
the Bekiai, Adansi, Juabin, Kokofu, Kumasi, Mampon, Nsuta, Nkuwanta, Dadussi, Daniassi, Ofinsu, and Adjitai. Gold Coast began encountering European traders in the mid-1400s, when the Portuguese began trading with coastal peoples. By the seventeenth century, many European trading giants including the British, Dutch and French began building
fortifications along the coastline in order to assert their positions. These interactions were to have a profound effect on African institutions came under considerable European influence very early on. West Africa had a long history of connection to trans-Saharan gold trade, and from the 15th century was drawn into
 trade with Europe, in gold and increasingly in slaves. The Ashanti kingdom had emerged from the mid-17th century, benefitting from access both to rich agricultural resources and gold, much of the labour for production of which was provided by a domestic slave trade. The Expansion of the Asante Kingdom 1700-1807 Image sourceMany
West Africa was still unknown to the rest of the world, thus By the late 15th century and early 16th century and early 16th century and early as in the 19th century European powers like France, Germany, and Britain likewise
sent number of missionaries, explorers, traders and philanthropists in West Africa to investigate the needed knowledge about raw materials, visibility, potential areas and the nature of African population British traders had operated off what was to become
known as the Gold Coast with little direct intervention by British authorities. When the Ashanti kingdom showed ambitions to expand its control southwards in negotiating treaties with African authorities and protecting trading interests, the British invaded Ashanti in 1874 and burnt its capital. The majority of European Explorers spent their time to
investigate and to detail the interior and coast of West Africa to help European powers that were experiencing mushrooming of industries. Explores assisted the European merchant groups; penetration of west Africa interior in 18th century was real a hard and difficult but with the
aid of explorers, European merchant groups had advantage of trading in West Africa freely with assurance of security of themselves and their trading commodities. The British had become the dominant power along the coast, and they
began annexing and laying claim to territory gradually. The expansion of the Asante kingdom towards the coast was the major cause of this, as the British placed the Governor of neighbouring Sierra Leone, which was already annexed, in charge of British
forts and settlements along the coast. He formed an unfavourable opinion of the Asante, and began the long process of attempting to bring them under British and the Asante, and in 1824, the Asante succeeded in killing the Governor as well as
seven of his men. In retaliation, the British (with the help of tribes oppressed by the Asante, including the Fante and the Ga) beat the Asante back in 1826, and successfully ended their dominance of coastal regions. The establishment of British law and jurisdiction in the colony was a gradual process, but the 1844 Bond with the Fante is popularly
considered to be its true beginning. This recognised the power of British officials and British common law in the Gold Coast who was not also Governor was appointed to Gold Coast who was not also Governor was appointed to British officials and British officials and British officials and British common law in the Gold Coast who was not also Governor was appointed to Gold Coast who was not also Governor was appointed to British officials and British officials 
British common law becoming enforced. However, all of this brought financial challenges, and saw the policy of making the colonies pay come in to force in the Gold Coast for the first time. European troops entering Kumane during the second Anglo- Ashanti War. Image sourceThe British fought against the Ashanti four times in the 19th century and
suppressed a final uprising in 1900 before claiming the region as a colony. The first Anglo-Ashanti War began in 1823 after the Ashanti defeated a small British beat an Ashanti army near the coast in 1826. After two generations of
relative peace, more violence occurred in 1863 when the Ashanti invaded the British "protectorate" along the coast in retaliation for the refusal of Fanti leaders to return a fugitive slave. The result was another stand-off, but the British took casualties and public opinion at home started to view the Gold Coast as a quagmire. In 1873, the Second
Ashanti War began after the British took possession of the remaining Dutch trading posts along the coast, giving British firms a regional monopoly on the trade between Africans and Europe. The Ashanti had long viewed the Dutch as allies, so they invaded the British protectorate along the coast. A British army led by General Wolseley waged a
successful campaign against the Ashanti that led to a brief occupation of Kumasi and a "treaty of protection" signed by the Ashantehene (leader) of Ashanti, ending the war in July 1874. This war was covered by a number of news correspondents (including H. M. Stanley) and the "victory" excited the imagination of the European public. In 1894, the
Third Anglo-Ashanti War began following British press reports that a new Ashantehene named Prempeh committed acts of cruelty and barbarism. Strategically, the British used the war to insure their control over the gold fields before the French, who were advancing on all sides, could claim them. In 1896, the British government formally annexed
the territories of the Ashanti and the Fanti. In 1900, a final uprising took place when the British governor of Gold Coast (Hodgson) unilaterally attempted to depose the Ashantehene by seizing the symbol of his authority, the Golden Stool. The British were victorious and reoccupied Kumasi permanently. On September 26, 1901 the British created the
Crown Colony of Gold Coast. The change in the Gold Coast's status from "protectorate" to "crown colony" meant that relations with the inhabitants of the region were handled by the Colonial Office, rather than the Foreign Office. That implied that the British no longer recognized the Ashanti or the Fanti as having independent governments. Results of
colonisation of the Ashanti kingdom and BritainIn December 1895, Sir Francis Scott left Cape Coast with an expedition force. It arrived in Kumasi in January 1896. The Asantehene directed the Ashanti to not resist. Shortly thereafter, Governor William Maxwell arrived in Kumasi as well. Asantehene Agyeman Prempeh was deposed and arrested.
Britain annexed the territories of the Ashanti and the Fanti in 1896, and Ashanti leaders were sent into exile in the Seychelles. The Asante Union was dissolved. Robert Baden-Powell led the British in this campaign. The British formally declared the coastal regions to be the Gold Coast colony. A British Resident was permanently placed in the city, and
soon after a British fort. As a final measure of resistance, the remaining Asante court not exiled to the Seychelles mounted an offensive against the British Residents at the Kumasi Fort. The resistance was led by Yaa Asantewaa, the Queen-Mother of Ejisu. From March 28 to late-September 1900, the Asante and British were engaged in what would
become known as the War of the Golden Stool. On March 28, 1900 Governor Frederick Hodgson met with the chiefs at Kumasi and demanded that the Asante hand over the sacred Golden Stool to him. On April 25 the telegraph wires were cut, and Kumasi was surrounded. Thirty British were dying per day in June. On June 23 three officers and 150
made a sortie and managed to escape. Governor Hodgson reached Cape Coast on July 10. The British sent 1,400 troops from other parts of Africa, and the Asantes nine-month struggle for independence failed. In March 1901 Governor Matthew Nathan visited Kumasi, and he deported 16 Ashanti leaders and imprisoned 31 at Elmina. The people were
disarmed, and only licensed hunters could carry guns. The British annexed the Asante confederacy as a Crown Colony and did not allow chiefs to rule in Kumasi until Prempeh became Kumasihene in 1926. In the end, Asantewaa and other Ashanti leaders were also sent to Seychelles to join Prempeh I. In January 1902, Britain finally added Asante to
its protectorates on the Gold Coast. Asante was forcibly incorporated into the British Gold Coast colony in 1902, along with further territory to its immediate north which had not belonged to the kingdom itself. The later addition of British Togoland creates borders for the colony that are essentially those that exist for modern Ghana. When the British
defeated the Ashanti people, they collected all the gold treasures of the area. In addition to this, the Ashanti people lost their independence. They did not receive any political rights in the Gold Coast and power was taken away from legitimate Ashanti leaders. People were forced off their land onto farms or factories which ultimately made the British
richer. The British then spent money on things that will improve their ability to remove wealth and natural resources from the Gold Coast. They built railroads and roads, but only to their own benefit in order for products to be shipped off to European empires
into Africa. It is referred to as a scramble due to the way in which the European nations raced to capture territory to expand to their empires. The Scramble for Africa is considered to have occurred from approximately 1870 until the outbreak of World War I in 1914. During these years, almost all of Africa came under the control of the major
European powers, including: Britain, France, Germany, Belgium, Italy, Portugal and Spain, There are several main causes to the Scramble for Africa, including: European competition, ethnocentrism, the spread of Christianity and new innovations of the Scramble for Africa, including: European competition, ethnocentrism, the spread of Christianity and new innovations. The first main causes of the Scramble for Africa, including: European competition, ethnocentrism, the spread of Christianity and new innovations.
territory to increase their access to resources and people. In the century or so before the start of the Age of Imperialism, European nations (especially Britain) transform their economies from being based on agriculture to the
factory system. This resulted in the construction of many factories throughout the cities in European nations were in search of territory in order to gain access to more resources, which could be used to develop products in their resources. As such, this led to the scramble in Africa as the European
nations competed for different regions of the African continent. Another cause of the Scramble for Africa was the view of racial superiority that Europeans expressed throughout the 19th century. More specifically, as Europeans travelled the globe and colonized different regions, they came into contact with all sorts of different indigenous people. As
such, European beliefs about their own supposed racial superiority helped inform their interactions with the people they encountered, including native Africans. The term that best relates to this concept is ethnocentrism, which is the concept of judging other cultures based upon the views of your own. Further to this idea is the concept of
eurocentrism. Eurocentrism is similar to ethnocentrism but focuses specifically on European governments due to a concept called Social Darwinism. In short, Social Darwinism is the idea that some ethnic groups or
races are superior to others and therefore more fit to rule over those that are less fit. Charles Darwin was the renowned British scientist who is credited with developing the theory of evolution in his famous book Origin of Species. While Darwin was referring to biological studies of species, others used his ideas and applied them to human beings.
Therefore, supporters of Social Darwinism attempted to use the ideas of Charles Darwinism was particularly popular in the early 1870s, when Europeans were carrying out their massive imperialistic campaigns as part of the Age of Imperialism. The beliefs of ethnocentrism and Social
Darwinism can be seen in a famous poem by Rudyard Kipling called The White Mans Burden. In the poem, Kipling is promoting the idea that people of European descent are biologically more superior to other people from around the world, and the
burden of the white man is to fix the uncivilized indigenous peoples. As a result, the beliefs of ethnocentrism and eurocentrism and eurocentrism and eurocentrism led to the events of the Scramble for Africa in 1870 because the views of superiority on the part of the Europeans empowered them to dominate the people they encountered. A third cause for the events of the Scramble for
Africa was the need for the European nations to promote their own religious values around the world. Similar to the belief in their own racial superiority, Europeans also promoted Christian missionaries often accompanied
early explorers to the African interior, and the spread of Christianity was a key feature of European missionaries felt it was
their duty to help spread Christian beliefs to new people. A fourth cause of the Scramble for Africa is the new technologies and innovations that helped the European powers had industrialized throughout the 19th century with the events of the Industrial
Revolution. This period of industrialization led to the development of several significant inventions and advancements such as the steam engine was an important invention that led to other advancements such as the steam engine was an important invention.
the African interior and were major aspects of the Scramble for Africa, the new advances in transportation caused them to scramble to develop railroad networks across the vast continent. However, likely the most significant European advantage came in the form of
weaponry. For example, the development of the Maxim gun played a vitally important role in Europes success in Africa. In short, the Maxim gun was a machine gun in history and is often considered to be one of the main
factors of European dominance in the Scramble for Africa and the Maxim gun and the military advantage it gave the British in Africa when he wrote: Whatever happens, we have got/ The Maxim gun, and they have not. (The Modern Traveller, 1898) Therefore,
European inventions from the 19th century helped the major European powers more easily travel through the African territory and overpower African territory and overpower African territory and early 20th century.
Europe has a long history of wars and conflicts between its major nations, and this was still true in the 19th century and pushed them to expand their empires of control across the world. Furthermore, the European nations experienced a period of
prolonged rivalry through the Age of Exploration, which occurred from the 15th century until the 17th century until the 27th century until the 17th century until the 27th century until the 17th century unti
to the outbreak of World War I in 1914. As such, historians considered the rivalries that existed between the European nations in the Berlin Conference, the era of formal colonization commenced in earnest. During this period, European
powers exerted control over their respective territories, imposing administrative structures, economic systems, and cultural norms that reflected their imperial objectives. The methods of control varied among the colonizing powers, ranging from direct administration to systems of indirect rule. In direct rule, European administrators governed the
colonies with a system transplanted from their home countries, with little to no regard for indigenous political structures. France was a prominent advocate of this approach, effectively considering their colonies as extensions of France was a prominent advocate of this approach, effectively considering their colonies, an aspect of colonization
referred to as cultural assimilation. In contrast, the British often employed indirect rule, utilizing existing local power structures to govern their colonies. Indigenous leaders were made subordinate to British officials and served as intermediaries, enforcing British rules and regulations. This approach allowed Britain to rule with a smaller
```

```
administrative footprint, but it often reinforced and exaggerated local hierarchies and inequalities. The economic systems established by the colonial powers were primarily extractive, designed to funnel Africa's vast resources into European markets. This extraction ranged from agricultural products like rubber and palm oil, to minerals like diamonds and inequalities.
and gold, to forced human labor. Africans were often coerced into growing cash crops instead of food crops, leading to economic imbalance and recurrent food shortages. In many colonies, harsh systems of forced labor were implemented. The most notorious of these was perhaps the Congo Free State under King Leopold II of Belgium, where brutal
tactics were used to coerce the local population to harvest rubber, leading to widespread atrocities and millions of deaths. The cultural impact of colonial rule was profound and far-reaching. European languages were imposed as mediums of instruction and administration, leading to the widespread adoption of languages like English, French,
Portuguese, and Dutch. Christian missionaries actively sought to convert Africans, leading to the spread of Christianity at the expense of traditional African religions. In terms of education, while some colonial powers established schools, education was often designed to create a subservient workforce that would not challenge colonial rule. This
limited the development of a broad-based educated class and contributed to a lack of skilled labor force after independence. The Age of Imperialism and the Scramble for Africa had a major impact in the continent today. More specifically, European imperialism in Africa unfolded as
a series of major events that resulted in the major European powers taking control over large sections of Africa. Each of these major events had an impact on Africa in both the past and still today. As well, historians have identified both the positive and negative impacts of European imperialism in Africa. The Scramble for Africa was a major historical
event that saw the major European powers of the 19th century carry out competing campaigns to colonize Africa as quickly as possible. More specifically, the Scramble for Africa is the term that historians use to refer to the expansion of European empires into Africa. It is referred to as a scramble due to the way in which the European nations raced
to capture territory to expand to their empires. The Scramble for Africa is considered to have occurred from approximately 1870 until the outbreak of World War I in 1914. During these years, almost all of Africa came under the control of the major European powers, including: Britain, France, Germany, Belgium, Italy, Portugal and Spain. The
Scramble for Africa unfolded as a series of major events that eventually saw the African continent colonized and then divided by the major European powers. This division and colonization of Africa Created a series of major events that were both positive and negative in nature. Scramble for Africa Map (Click to Enlarge) *Editors note: The period of
European imperialism in Africa was devastating for the African people and led to many hardships. These 'positives' are not meant to dismiss the terrible atrocities committed against the African people and led to many hardships. These 'positives' are not meant to dismiss the terrible atrocities committed against the African people and led to many hardships. These 'positives' are not meant to dismiss the terrible atrocities committed against the African people and led to many hardships.
several main positive outcomes from the period. First, the main European powers (Britain, France, Spain, Portugal, Germany, Belgium, etc.) that imperialism in Africa was beneficial for the European nations in that it helped grow their national
economies. This was done by extracting important natural resources out of Africa and using them to benefit European companies and governments. With that said, the European extraction of these resources obviously had devastating impacts on African societies. These negative impacts are discussed in the section below. For instance, Leopold II of
Belgium famously exploited the people of the Congo in order to gather and sell as much rubber from the region as possible. This made Leopold II an incredibly wealthy person and benefited Belgium, but had terrible impacts for the people of the Congo. Another positive impact of European imperialism in Africa was the construction of major
infrastructure projects. For instance, in order to extract the resources out of Africa, the European nations built vast transportation system was used to exploit the Africa economically because it created a network throughout Africa that included railroads, bridges, roads, and harbors. While, this transportation networks throughout Africa that included railroads, bridges, roads, and harbors.
of routes that were useful to the continent well into the 20th century. As well, many of the European powers developed their colonies by building sanitation systems and improving medical and education systems. The medical and education advances led to the construction of hospitals and schools across the different regions of Africa, which
benefitted the people. Next, some historians have pointed out that European colonial governments also helped to introduce new crops and farming methods to parts of Africa. This helped lead to a higher production of food for the continent and gave some Africans new skills that were beneficial. As such, it could be argued that European imperialism
in Africa in the 19th century led to major developments that helped improve life for some African people. While there were some positive aspects of European imperialism in Africa, it is impossible to discount the negative impacts
that occurred to Africa and the Africa and the Africa is, arguably, still suffering today from the impacts of European imperialism in the 19th century. These impacts of European imperialism in Africa was the destruction of African traditions,
culture and languages in favor of European traditions, culture and languages. For instance, the European people that they encountered during the Age of Imperialism. For example, European colonists and settlers expressed a view of racial
superiority over other groups. As such, European beliefs about their own supposed racial superiority helped inform their interactions with the people they encountered, including native Africans. The term that best relates to this concept of judging other cultures based upon the views of your own. Further to this
idea is the concept of eurocentrism. Eurocentrism is similar to ethnocentrism but focuses specifically on Europeans and the views of superiority expressed in relation to the timeframe of imperialism. In short, Social Darwinism is the idea that
some ethnic groups or races are superior to others and therefore more fit to rule over those that are less fit. Together, these ethnocentric views by the major European powers of the time meant that European nations sometimes
established schools and hospitals in their African colonies, these schools emphasized European culture over African culture. As such, this put immense pressure on African societies as they struggled to conform to European Christian
missionaries were a common feature of the Scramble for Africa in the late 19th century. For instance, famous British missionary David Livingstone carried out early missions to Africa in the hopes of converting African people to begin to
look to the European colonial governments as the authority instead of their own traditional leaders. As stated above, African societies also faced economic impacts from European imperialism in the 19th century. For instance, the main European powers were primarily focused on finding and extracting resources from Africa, such as: gold, diamonds
cotton and rubber. This focus on taking valuable resources out of Africa benefitted the European home countries greatly, but stalled or harmed the economies of the African societies. As such, this robbed the African societies in
terrible and devastating ways. For instance, Leopold II of Belgium famously brutalized the people of the Congo throughout his command over the Congo Free State. Leopold II served as the King of Belgium from 1865 until 1909 and oversaw the Belgian role in the Age of Imperialism and the Scramble for Africa. One of the most significant events of
this period was that of King Leopold II of Belgium and his role in the Congo, which was a region in West and Central Africa. In fact, Leopold II ruled over the Congo as his personal empire and was responsible for the death of millions Congolese people. Furthermore, his brutal treatment of the local people came to symbolize the worst aspects of
European imperialism in Africa. The Scramble for Africa took place from 1884 to 1914. During this time, European countries quickly colonized and divided African territories. The Berlin Conference in 18841885 set the rules for this process, resulting in only Liberia and Ethiopia remaining independent by 1914. Significant events during this period
include the British conquest of Egypt in 1882 and the establishment of the Congo Free State under King Leopold II of Belgium. Resistance from Africa significantly altered the continents political, social, and economic landscape. It resulted in the exploitation of resources,
disruption of local cultures, and long-lasting impacts that continue to influence Africa provides insight into the subsequent struggles for independence and the formation of modern Africa provides insight into the subsequent struggles for independence and the formation of modern Africa provides insight into the subsequent struggles for independence and the formation of modern Africa provides insight into the subsequent struggles for independence and the formation of modern Africa provides insight into the subsequent struggles for independence and the formation of modern Africa provides insight into the subsequent struggles for independence and the formation of modern Africa provides insight into the subsequent struggles for independence and the formation of modern Africa provides insight into the subsequent struggles for independence and the formation of modern Africa provides insight into the subsequent struggles for independence and the formation of modern Africa provides insight into the subsequent struggles for independence and the formation of modern Africa provides insight into the subsequent struggles for independence and the formation of modern Africa provides insight into the subsequent struggles for independence and the formation of modern Africa provides insight into the subsequent struggles for independence and the formation of modern Africa provides insight into the subsequent struggles for independence and the formation of modern Africa provides insight into the subsequent struggles for independence and the formation of modern Africa provides insight into the subsequent struggles for independence and the formation of modern Africa provides insight into the subsequent struggles for independence and the formation of modern Africa provides insight into the subsequent struggles for independence and the formation of modern Africa provides insight into the subsequent struggles for independence and the subsequent struggles for independence and the subsequent struggles for independence and the subsequent 
conflicts, and identity in Africa, paving the way for todays political dynamics. What Was the Scramble for Africa and When Did It Take Place? The Scramble for Africa was the rapid invasion, occupation, and division of Africa territory by European powers from the late 19th century to the early 20th century. It primarily took place between 1881 and
1914. Key points related to the Scramble for Africa include: 1. European colonization motivations 2. The Berlin Conference (1884-1885) 3. Major colonization for Africa had diverse implications for Africa and conflicts 7. Lasting legacies of colonization for Africa had diverse implications for Africa had diverse implications for Africa and conflicts 7. Lasting legacies of colonization for Africa had diverse implications for Africa had diverse implications for Africa had diverse implication for Africa had diverse implications for Africa had diverse implication for Africa 
Europe alike. European Colonization Motivations: European colonization motivations during the Scramble for Africa include economic interests, political power, and social influences. Countries sought new markets, raw materials, and opportunities for investment. The competition among European nations fueled the desire for territorial expansion. The competition among European nations fueled the desire for territorial expansion.
Berlin Conference (1884-1885):The Berlin Conference was a meeting of European nations to establish guidelines for colonizing Africa. This conference was a meeting of European nations to establish guidelines for colonizing Africa. This conference was a meeting of European nations to establish guidelines for colonizing Africa. This conference was a meeting of European nations to establish guidelines for colonizing Africa.
Involved: The major colonial powers involved in the Scramble for Africa included Great Britain, France, Germany, Belgium, and Portugal. Great Britain acquired vast territories, while France expanded across West Africa. Germany, Belgium, and Portugal. Great Britain acquired vast territories, while France expanded across West Africa.
exploitation.Impact on African Societies:The impact on African societies and economics. It led to the erosion of local governance structures and altered social relations. Economic Exploitation: Economic Exploitation disrupted traditional societies and economics. It led to the erosion of local governance structures and altered social relations.
exploitation characterized the colonial experience. European powers extracted resources, including minerals, rubber, and agricultural products. According to the World Bank, colonial policies prioritized European economic interests often at the expense of local needs and development. Resistance and Conflicts: Resistance and conflicts arose in
response to colonial rule. Many African groups organized rebellions against foreign domination. One notable example is the Maji Rebellion in German East Africa (now Tanzania) from 1905 to 1907, a response to forced labor and crop cultivation policies. Lasting Legacies of Colonization: The lasting legacies of colonization include political
instability, economic dependency, and social fragmentation in post-colonial Africa. Borders drawn by colonial powers often ignored ethnic and cultural lines, leading to ongoing conflicts. Scholars like Achille Mbembe have highlighted how colonial rule continues to shape contemporary African identity and governance (Mbembe, 2001). The Scramble for
Africa fundamentally reshaped the continent and had significant global repercussions. What Were the Major Causes Driving the Scramble for Africa include economic interests, political competition, cultural motivations, and strategic considerations. Economic Interests Political Competition Cultural
MotivationsStrategic ConsiderationsThese factors shaped the dynamics of the Scramble for Africa in 1880s to 1900s, reflecting a complex interests played a central role in the Scramble for Africa. European powers sought access to Africas abundant resources, including minerals,
rubber, and agricultural products. This quest for resources was fueled by the industrial revolution, which increased the demand for raw materials. The Berlin Conference of 1884-1885, which regulated European colonization, highlighted how resources motivated the partitioning of Africa. For example, King Leopold II of Belgium exploited the Congo
for ivory and rubber, leading to vast wealth but severe human rights abuses. According to the historian Adam Hochschild in his 1998 book, King Leopolds Ghost, about 10 million Congolese perished due to exploitative practices. Political competition: Political competition among European nations propelled the Scramble for Africa. Countries aimed to
expand their empires and enhance their global standing. Nationalism spurred rivalries, leading nations to seek colonies as a demonstration of power. The desire for prestige led to aggressive territorial claims. Historian P.J. Marshall notes in The Cambridge Illustrated History of the British Empire (1996) that Britain, France, and Germany were
particularly vocal in claiming African territories. This competition often led to tensions, such as the Fashoda Incident in 1898, where French and British forces nearly clashed in Sudan to assert control. Cultural Motivations: Cultural motivations are french and British forces nearly clashed in Sudan to assert control.
Africa. Many Europeans justified colonization as a means to spread civilization, Christianity, and Western values. The notion of the White Mans Burden, popularized by Rudyard Kiplings poem, exemplified this mindset. Critics argue that such ideologies fueled paternalistic attitudes towards Africans, dismissing their cultures as inferior. Historian
Thomas Pakenham discusses this cultural lens in his book The Scramble for Africa (1991), observing how these beliefs shaped colonizers actions to establish colonizers actions in Africa (1991), observing how these beliefs shaped colonizers actions in Africa (1991), observing how these beliefs shaped colonizers actions in Africa (1991), observing how these beliefs shaped colonizers actions in Africa (1991), observing how these beliefs shaped colonizers actions in Africa (1991), observing how these beliefs shaped colonizers actions in Africa (1991), observing how these beliefs shaped colonizers actions in Africa (1991), observing how these beliefs shaped colonizers actions in Africa (1991), observing how these beliefs shaped colonizers actions in Africa (1991), observing how these beliefs shaped colonizers actions in Africa (1991), observing how these beliefs shaped colonizers actions in Africa (1991), observing how these beliefs shaped colonizers actions in Africa (1991), observing how these beliefs shaped colonizers actions in Africa (1991), observing how these beliefs shaped colonizers actions in Africa (1991), observing how these beliefs shaped colonizers actions in Africa (1991), observing how these beliefs shaped colonizers actions action (1991), observing how the Africa (1991), observing how the
routes and build naval bases. The location of African ports and waterways became critical to maintaining global trade interests. For example, control of the Suez Canal was vital for British access to India. The significance of strategic locations is emphasized by historian John Darwin in After Tamerlane (2007), where he highlights how geography
influenced colonial agendas. This strategic dimension often intersected with economic goals, creating a layered rationalization for colonization. Which Key Events Defined the Scramble for Africa include the Berlin Conference, the establishment of colonial administrations, and the resistance against
colonial rule. Berlin Conference (1884-1885) Establishment of Colonial RuleAfrican Resistance Movements Economic Exploitation Cultural Disruption These events highlight the various dynamics at play during this period, differing perspectives regarding colonialism, and the lasting impacts on African nations. Berlin Conference (1884-1885): The Berlin Conference (1884-1885) Establishment of Colonial RuleAfrican Resistance Movements Economic Exploitation Cultural Disruption These events highlight the various dynamics at play during this period, differing perspectives regarding colonialism, and the lasting impacts on African nations. Berlin Conference (1884-1885): The Berlin Conference (1884-1885) Establishment of Colonial RuleAfrican Resistance Movements Economic Exploitation Cultural Disruption These events highlight the various dynamics at play during this period, differing perspectives regarding colonialism, and the lasting impacts on African nations. Berlin Conference (1884-1885) Establishment of Colonial RuleAfrican Resistance Movements Economic Exploitation Cultural Disruption Conference (1884-1885) Establishment of Colonial RuleAfrican Resistance Movements Economic Exploitation Cultural Disruption Conference (1884-1885) Establishment of Colonial RuleAfrican Resistance Movements Economic Exploitation Cultural Disruption Conference (1884-1885) Establishment of Colonial RuleAfrican Resistance Movements Economic Exploitation Cultural Disruption Conference (1884-1885) Establishment of Colonial RuleAfrican Resistance Movements Economic Exploitation Cultural Disruption Conference (1884-1885) Establishment of Colonial RuleAfrican Resistance Movements Economic Exploitation Cultural Disruption Conference (1884-1885) Establishment (1884-18
Conference regulated European colonization and trade in Africa among European power needed to establish a presence and control over a territory to claim it. The conference led to the division of Africa among European powers without considering indigenous cultures or political
structures. As a result, countries such as France, Britain, and Germany divided land with little regard for local populations. Historian Hugh Seton-Watson (1967) argues this resulted in geopolitical issues that persist today. Establishment of Colonial Rule: The establishment of colonial rule involved the creation of governments and institutions that
enforced European control. This process often included military conquest and the imposition of foreign laws. Colonizers extracted resources and utilized local labor under exploitation and deaths of millions. This disregard for human life and
dignity generated resentment that fueled future independence movements. African Resistance Movements emerged as a response to colonial rule and exploitation. Various leaders and groups organized uprisings against Europeans. Notable examples include the Ethiopian victory at the Battle of Adwa in 1896 and the
Mahdist revolt in Sudan (1881-1899). These movements illustrate the determination of African nations to maintain sovereignty. Historian John Iliffe (2007) emphasizes that resistance was not just military; it also involved cultural and political revival. Economic Exploitation: Economic exploitation refers to the extraction of Africas natural resources for
European benefit. Colonizers established cash crops, which disrupted local subsistence agriculture. Countries such as Nigeria became major producers of palm oil, while the Gold Coast focused on cocoa. This created economies dependent on single exports, making them vulnerable to global market fluctuations. Economic historian Walter Rodney
(1972) argues that this exploitation stunted Africas economic development and created systems. Missionaries often sought to convert Africans to Christianity, undermining indigenous religions and customs. Schools established by
colonial powers taught foreign languages and cultures, leading to a loss of traditional knowledge. Anthropologist Ngugi wa Thiongo (1986) notes that languages and narratives. The Scramble for Africa shaped the continents modern history, creating complex
legacies of conflict, identity, and development. How Did the Berlin Conference Shape Africas Division Among European Powers? The Berlin Conference of 1884-1885 significantly altered the political landscape of Africa by formalizing the partitioning of the continent among European powers, leading to colonial exploitation and long-term consequences
for African nations. The primary ways the Berlin Conference shaped Africas division include: Establishment of Territorial Claims: European nations defined borders without regard for existing ethnic, cultural, or linguistic boundaries. Countries like Great Britain, France, and Germany secured vast territories. For example, the British gained control of
Egypt and Sudan, while France expanded into West Africa. This arbitrary division led to the fragmentation of communities and compounded ethnic tensions. Doctrine of Effective Occupation: The conference introduced the principle that nations must effective Occupation of communities and compounded ethnic tensions. Doctrine of Effective Occupation of communities and compounded ethnic tensions.
means. The stipulation required European countries to establish administration or military presence, resulting in brutal conflicts, such as the Congo Free State under King Leopold II of Belgium. Influence on Global Politics: The division of Africa was influenced by competition among European powers. Each nation aimed to expand its empire and
secure resources. Competition intensified, leading to conflicts in areas such as the Boer Wars between the British and Dutch settlers in South Africa. Economic Exploitation: The Berlin Conference facilitated the extraction of Africas resources, including minerals and agricultural products. European powers exploited local labor and resources to fuel
their economies, often establishing plantations and mines that operated under harsh conditions. This exploitation had devastating impacts on local populations, as noted in the Berlin Conference laid the groundwork for future political instability.
Many African nations continue to grapple with issues arising from colonial boundaries, including civil wars and national identity struggles. Research in The Political Economy of Africa by T. G. O. Arndt and E. M. Morrison (2013) highlights how these divisions contribute to ongoing conflict and governance challenges in post-colonial Africa. The legacy
of the Berlin Conference illustrates the profound impacts of colonialism on Africa societies? The Scramble for Africa significantly impacted African societies through political, economic, and social changes. Political
Disruption Economic Exploitation Social Fragmentation Cultural Erosion Resistance Movements The above impacts illustrate the multifaceted consequences of European colonization in Africa. Each point can evoke a variety of perspectives, including those of colonial powers and the indigenous populations affected. Political Disruption: Political disruption
refers to the upheaval in existing governance structures due to European colonization. This disruption occurred as European powers divided Africa into territories, disregarding historical tribal and cultural boundaries. For instance, the Berlin Conference of 1884-1885 formalized European claims without African representation. The impact included
the replacement of traditional leaders with colonial administrators, resulting in a loss of sovereignty and political representation for many African societies. Scholars like Thomas Pakenham (1992) highlight how this led to long-term instability and conflict post-independence. Economic Exploitation: Economic exploitation describes the extraction of
resources from Africa to benefit colonial powers. Colonizers imposed cash crop economies, which prioritized exports over subsistence farming. This shift often led to food shortages for local populations. For example, the British focus on cash crops like cotton in Kenya disrupted local agricultural practices. According to a 2010 report by the African
Development Bank, colonial economies were structured to serve foreign interests, resulting in continuous underdevelopment and dependency post-colonization. Social Fragmentation refers to the breakdown of new
identities based on religion or ethnicity, often leading to conflict. For instance, colonial policies in Rwanda heightened tensions between the Hutu and Tutsi groups. Research by Michaela Wrong (2004) illustrates how these divisions contributed to future conflicts, including the Rwandan Genocide. Cultural Erosion: Cultural erosion characterizes the
decline of indigenous cultures and practices due to European influence. This impact was often facilitated by missionary activities aimed at converting Africans to Christianity. Traditional beliefs and customs were undermined, leading to a loss of cultural heritage. Ngugi wa Thiongo, in his book Decolonising the Mind (1986), argues that colonial
education systems marginalized African languages and narratives, further contributing to cultural disintegration. Resistance movements reflect the local opposition to colonial rule. Many groups organized to reclaim autonomy and sovereignty. Notable examples include the Mau Mau uprising in Kenya and the Ashanti resistance
in Ghana. Scholars like Wm. Roger Louis (2002) study these movements as crucial responses to colonial oppression. The legacy of these movement in post-colonial Africa. These impacts of the Scramble for Africa create a complex legacy that continues to influence African
societies today. How Did Colonialism Change the Political Landscape of Africa? Colonialism significantly altered the political landscape of Africa by introducing foreign governance structures. European powers imposed their political frameworks on African
societies. Colonial rulers established administrative systems that disregarded local traditions. For example, direct rule in French West Africa replaced existing leadership structures with European officials. This change limited local representation and undermined traditional authority figures. Data from the United Nations (2022) indicates that this
imposition stunted political development in numerous regions. Disruption of traditional systems: Colonialism dismantled indigenous political systems that had governed African societies for centuries. For instance, the British colonial policy in Nigeria weakened the authority of local kings and chiefs. This left a power vacuum and created instability, as
many local leaders lost their influence. According to historian Thomas Pakenham (1991), this disruption fostered resistance and conflicts that persisted long after the colonial powers often exploited ethnic differences to maintain control. They used a tactic called divide and rule to pit groups against each
other. For example, colonialism heightened tensions between the Hutu and Tutsi in Rwanda. This manipulation resulted in long-term consequences, as it contributed to ethnic violence and civil unrest in the post-colonial era. Researchers such as Pierre-Michel Fontaine (2019) have noted that such divisions remain significant factors in contemporary
(1972) highlighted that it created an uneven economic playing field, which still affects African development today. Marginalized African perspectives in politics. Decisions affecting local populations were made without their input. Reports from the African Union (2021) emphasize that this lack of
representation contributed to ongoing governance challenges in post-colonial states. As a result, many African nations struggle with legitimacy and authority. In summary, colonialism changed the political landscape of Africa by introducing foreign governance structures, disrupting traditional systems, fostering ethnic divisions, exploiting economies,
and marginalizing indigenous voices. The effects of these changes are still evident in contemporary political issues across the continent. Why Should We Remember in todays global context because it shaped current political, social, and economic
landscapes in Africa and influenced international relations. Understanding this historical period helps us comprehend ongoing issues like economic disparities, ethnic conflicts, and colonial legacies. According to the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), the Scramble for Africa refers to the rapid colonization of
the African continent by European powers between the late 19th and early 20th centuries. This colonization resulted in the partitioning of Africa, often without regard for ethnic, cultural, or geographic boundaries. Several reasons contribute to the importance of remembering the Scramble for Africa. First, it highlights the legacy of colonialism, which
directly affects the political and economic conditions of many African nations today. Second, the competition among European nations during this period fostered a sense of nationalism in Africa. Third, the exploitation of resources during this period fostered a sense of nationalism in Africa. Third, the exploitation of resources during the colonization still influences global economic structures. Key terms include colonialism, defined as the control
or governing influence of a nation over a dependent country or people, and nationalism, which refers to a sense of unity among a group. Understanding these definitions is crucial, as they frame the relationships between former colonial powers and African nation over a dependent country or people, and nationalism, which refers to a sense of unity among a group. Understanding these definitions is crucial, as they frame the relationships between former colonial powers and African nations today. The mechanisms of the Scramble for Africa involved political
maneuvering, military power, and economic exploitation. European nations, such as Britain, France, and Germany, established colonies using treaties, force, and economic pressure. These processes often marginalized local populations and disrupted traditional systems. Specific conditions that arose include arbitrary national borders established
without regard for existing ethnic groups. For instance, the Berlin Conference of 1884-1885 set the stage for these borders, leading to conflicts that persist today. Countries like Nigeria and Sudan experience challenges due to the mingling of diverse ethnic groups forced into single national identities. Understanding the Scramble for Africa is vital for
addressing contemporary issues of governance, identity, and economic development across the continent. This awareness will foster more informed discussions about international cooperation and support for African nations in todays globalized world. Related Post: Share copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format for any purpose,
even commercially. Adapt remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially. The license terms. Attribution You must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made. You may do so in any reasonable manner, but
not in any way that suggests the licensor endorses you or your use. ShareAlike If you remix, transform, or build upon the material, you must distribute your contributions under the same license as the original. No additional restrictions You must distribute your contributions under the same license as the original. No additional restrictions You must distribute your contributions under the same license as the original.
permits. You do not have to comply with the license for elements of the material in the public domain or where your use is permitted by an applicable exception or limitation. No warranties are given. The license may not give you all of the permissions necessary for your intended use. For example, other rights such as publicity, privacy, or moral rights necessary for your intended use.
may limit how you use the material. The Scramble for Africa is generally defined as the period between 1881 and 1914 that saw European powers increase their stake in Africa from around 10 percent to about 90 percent. The continent of Africa was invaded, divided and then colonized by seven major Western powers, with France and Britain taking
the lions share of the continent. Many of the boundaries that exist today on the African continent was drawn up during this conquest, which had lasting social, political and economic effects, often times negative, for many decades. Its worth mentioning the fact that European empires at the time, who were usually at each others throats, were able to
smoothly carve up the continent without the need for a single major conflict among themselves. The sheer size of the loot (i.e. Africas vast natural resources) was enough reason for the kings and queens in Europe to put aside their differences and impose a direct rule over the continent. In the article below WHE explores the rationale behind Europes
conquest of Africa, the effects it had on Africans in general, and how that direct rule morphed into what some scholars see as neo-colonialism today. The state of affairs before the Scramble for Africa in the late 19th century, the kind of relationship that existed between the European
powers and the Africans was mainly economic. There was some bit of military ties however. For example it was not uncommon for Europeans, particularly businessmen, to establish both military and political ties were aimed at boosting trade between the two parties. Initially,
 Europeans did not see the need in moving inland to explore. They appeared quite content with the trading relationship that existed. Besides, moving further inland came with a tremendous amount of risk aside the many tropical diseases that they could catch. There were however some European missionaries that braced it all and soldiered
inland. With increased competition among European countries that operated along the coast of Africa, powerful businessmen, in association with European monarchs, began organizing expeditions were made. With this came
increased knowledge of the continent. By the middle of the 19th century, European explorers had created detailed maps of the many parts of Africa, particular in East and Colonization. Rationale behind Europea conquest and colonization of Africa, particular in East and Central Africa.
Western colonization of Africa was the vast trade opportunity that the continent presented Europe, whose markets at the time were suffering a bit from the decades of protectionist policies erected by each member country. Simply put, Europe needed to find new markets oversees as her markets were shrinking with each passing decade. Therefore,
Europe hoped to use Africa to revive its economy in ways never seen before. Raw materials would be taken from the continent, and Europe in turn would process those raw materials and then send some of the finished goods back to Africa and other parts of the world. Aside the economic gains, European imperial powers like Britain and France hoped
to use the various ports that they had established along the coast of Africa to facilitate their voyages to Asia and the Indian subcontinent. The latter was a massive gold mine that British businessmen and the Empress of India in 1877 (under
the Royal Titles Act) having had the control of India transferred from the East India Company to the Crown. In central Africa, more specifically todays Democratic Republic of the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo, Leopold II of Belgium had set up the Congo II of Belgium had set up the Congo II of Belgium had set up the Congo II of Belgium had set up the Co
two decades, Leopolds direct rule over the Congo decimated more than half of the local population (more on this below). Therefore, in a way, the wave of imperialism that swept throughout the globe, particularly in Africa, did not only promote global capitalism, but it also made many European monarchs unimaginably rich. Europees scramble for
Africa was also inspired by the growth of the naval and military strength of Western powers. Naval and military bases had to be set up along the coast of Africa in order to defend shipping routes that were vital in the global trade. Notable mention can be made of the Suez Canal, which was completed in 1869. This artificial sea-level waterway in Egypt
was constructed in order to boost trade between Europe and Asia as merchants did not have to go round Africa before entering the Indian Ocean. By connecting the Mediterranean Sea to the Red Sea, the Suez Canal drastically shortened the distance for sea travel between those two regions. The colonies that European nations established in Africa
were also used as some sort of bargaining chips during negotiations among the Europeans. Territories in Africa often changed hands, from one European countries that had colonies with sizable population ended up using the abled sections.
of the population to boost their military power. Having a colony in Africa also became a status symbol among Europeans. Take the case of the famous German Chancellor Otto von Bismarck who was not so enthusiastic about having colonies. Bismarck, following the unification of the various small German states in 1871, gave into the prevailing internal
political and public pressure to take active part in the scramble for Africa. Nicknamed the Iron Chancellor, Bismarck even helped organize the conference in Berlin in 1884 that set out the rules for European powers operating in Africa to follow in order to reduce the possibility of a conflict erupting among them. The Scramble for Africa begins The
lands near the European trading posts that were established centuries ago steadily turned into the full-fledged European territories. By the late 1860s, Europe had amassed control of about 10 percent of the continent. French and British presence on the Gulf of Guinea along the coast of West Africa was very pronounced. Portugal wielded tremendous
amount of influence on the coast of what is now Mozambique in East Africa. To the northwestern part of Africa, primarily what is today Algeria, France dominated as British traders and explorers made huge inroad into southern Africa. Buoyed by the growth of communication and transportation technology, more and more European nations entered
the fray, and thus marking the beginning of the Scramble for Africa. With all that expansion in Africa, one would think that Europeans would have descended into an all-out conflict over the colonization of Africa. Read More: Causes
and Major Effects of the Anglo-Ashanti WarsThe Berlin Africa, it became absolutely crucial for Europe to have framework that would regulate colonization efforts and trade in Africa. Having recently united and become an imperial power,
Germany, under the leadership of Chancellor Otto von Bismarck, took it upon itself to organize a conference (also known as the Congo Conference) as Europes formalization of its conquest and colonization
of Africa. It must be noted that prior to the conference, bilateral agreements were signed among many European countries in order to avoid the breakout of conflict over territories in Africa. All in all, fourteen countries in order to avoid the breakout of conflict over territories in Africa. It must be noted that prior to the conference, bilateral agreements were signed among many European countries in order to avoid the breakout of conflict over territories in Africa. All in all, fourteen countries in order to avoid the breakout of conflict over territories in Africa. All in all, fourteen countries in order to avoid the breakout of conflict over territories in Africa.
countries such as Denmark, the United States, Russia, the Netherlands, among others. Other issues discussed at the conference included the ending of slave trade that still existed in some bits and forms, and the expansion of missionary activities on the African continent. Above all things, the conference simply sought to steer imperial powers of the
day away from any future conflict over division of Africa. Rules were established to govern territorial boundaries, trade, naval activities, and among others. Another very big takeaway from the Congo Free State (what is today the Democratic Republic
of Congo). It was also agreed that region along the Congo River was to be a neutral area and free of all trade restrictions. The 14 participating countries at the conference also agreed to remain in constant communication with each other, especially when an imperial power intended to expand its colony in Africa. European
empires were able to put aside their differences to milk Africa so to speak. The conference in Berlin 1884 marked the point were informal imperialism began to turn into a more direct rule over Africa. Military influence and economic dominance gave way to direct rule as Europeans agreed to establish and maintain open channels of communication
among themselves when it came to the issue of Africa. It was hoped that the rules agreed to would help avert conflicts among themselves. Image: German Chancellor Otto von Bismarck at the Berlin Africa Conference, 1884Why were Ethiopia and Liberia were the two African countries that
werent included during imperial powers scramble for Africa. Those two countries were already full-fledged independent countries. Liberia, for example, had declared its independence about four decades prior to the Berlin Conference of 1884. The West African country had a fledging system of government modeled on the United States
Constitution.Read More: Joseph Jenkins Roberts, Liberias First PresidentAs a matter of fact, Liberia was heavily influenced by American culture; the language, flag, system of governance, capital name, and the name Liberia itself bears strong semblance to the United States. Liberias was derived from the word liberated or liberty, which came about
because freed slaves from the U.S., and other parts of Caribbean were encouraged to settle on the coast of West Africa. Liberia thus became a refuge for Blacks that wanted to return to their ancestral home so to speak. Owing to Liberia thus became a refuge for Blacks that wanted to return to their ancestral home so to speak.
want to get into a conflict with the Americans. With regard to Ethiopia, the countrys powerful emperors and relatively strong military forces made it an unattractive target for European nations. Read more: The two Africa ountries stayed independent during the Scramble for Afr
example referred to a local soldier who served in the armies of the European colonial empires in Africa. Askaris served in British, Italian, Portuguese, German, Belgian and French armies of the European colonial empires in Africa. The colonial powers would later deploy
the askaris into the security apparatus of their African colonies. Germany was the third largest colonial power in Africa, behind Britain and France. Much of the countrys rise came during the reign of Kaiser Wilhelm II, who actively promoted a foreign policy that turned Germany into a global power with a large navy. By 1915, the Germans wielded
control over 2.6 million square kilometers of the continent. There were about 14 million inhabitants in the German colonies in Africa, which included Tanganyika (present day Togo in West Africa). Similar to Germany, Italy, following its unification irrhabitants in the Germany and Togoland (present day Togol
1861, began making huge inroads into Africa. The Italians took possession of Eritrea in 1870. Large parts of the south of the horn of Africa fell into Italys control. Soon, the Italians took possession of Eritrea in 1870. Large parts of the south of the horn of Africa fell into Italys control.
on the slight disunity in Ethiopia following the death of Emperor Yohannes IV in 1889. And so the First Italo-Ethiopian were defeated with a numerical superior Ethiopian army, which also received ample support from the French and the Russians. Following a brief war with the Ottoman Empire in 1911, Italy took
possession of some parts of Libya i.e. Tripolitania and Cyrenaica which united to form the Italian colony called Italian Libya (1934-1943). In the Second Italo-Ethiopian War (1935-1937), Ethiopian Emperor Haile Selassie even had to flee his solini. Ethiopian Emperor Haile Selassie even had to flee his solini.
nation as the capital Addis Ababa was overran by the Italian forces. Following its annexation, Ethiopia became part of the Italian province of East Africa, which included other Italian forced Italy out of Ethiopia. As Leopold II of
Belgium carved himself a large swathes of what is today the Democratic Republic of Congo, French explorers, led by Pierre de Brazza, had raised the French flag over a territory that is now Brazzaville. France would therefore go on take possession of French Congo (todays Republic of the Congo). Initially, the Egba United Government the Egba people
a subgroup of the Yoruba people in western Nigeria was recognized by Britain as independent. However, that all changed in 1914, when the region was annexed by Britain and later incorporated into the Colony and Protectorate of Nigeria. During the 10-year construction of the vital waterway the Suez Canal in the mid-19th century, its been estimated
that several tens of thousands of workers lost their lives. Workers typically died of cholera, malnutrition and even fatigue. Owing to the huge debt that then-Egyptian ruler Ismail abdicated the throne in favor of his eldest son Tewfik Pasha. The new
Egyptian ruler, who soon had to contend with a Sudanese rebellion (the Mahdist War, from 1881 to 1889) led by Muhammad Ahmad, sought the financial and military assistance from Britain. And thus began Britains rule over Egypt. After the 7-year Mahdist War, Britain took control of Anglo-Egyptian Sudan, which corresponds largely to todays Sudan
and South Sudan. Britain had many possessions in Southern Africa, including what is today South Africa, Zambia, Botswana, Zimbabwe, Lesotho, and Eswatini. Having taking possessions in Southern Africa, including what is today South Africa, Zambia, Botswana, Zimbabwe, Lesotho, and Eswatini. Having taking possessions with places along the Nile, as such the famous British tried to link (by rail) its Southern African possessions with places along the Nile, as such the famous British tried to link (by rail) its Southern African possession of Egypt, the British tried to link (by rail) its Southern African possession of Egypt, and Eswatini.
Cape Colony Cecil John Rhodes came up with the phrase Cape to Cairo empire. From its West African colony in present day Senegal, the French headed eastward and took possession of many places in the Sahara, including modern day Mali, Chad, and Niger. France tried to establish a trade route from the Niger River to the Nile. Some imperial powers
in Europe rode on anti-slavery theme to justify their colonization of Africa. About four years after the Berlin Africa Conference, the Brussels Anti-Slavery theme to justify their colonization of Africa. The coming of colonial powers, who abolished all kinds of slave trade, resulted in the emancipation of
millions of slaves in Africa. Independent territories in Africa, including the Ethiopian Empire, followed suit and abolished slavery in their territories. To put into perspective just how much land area Europe acquired during its colonization of Africa. Its been estimated that Europe acquired close to 9,000,000 square miles (23,000,000 kilometer square)
of overseas land around the globe. That figure is the equivalent of 20% of the land area of the globe. Britains colonies in Africa alone accounted for about 16%, Portugal had 12%, and Germany had about 7%. Although the imperial powers
were able to avoid any serious conflict among themselves over the Scramble for Africa, the tensions that came from their overseas colonial possessions ultimately gave birth to further political crisis in Europe, which included present-day
Burundi, the Tanzania mainland, Rwanda, and among others. The Berlin Africa Conference of 1884-85 is also known as the Partition of Africa, was a period of rapid colonization and division of the African continent by European powers between 1881 and
1914. This era was marked by intense competition among European nations to establish colonies and exploit Africas resources and markets. The Berlin Conference of 1884-1885 formalized the colonization process, with European powers negotiating and agreeing upon the division of Africa into spheres of influence, without input from African leaders
or representatives. This resulted in the arbitrary drawing of borders that disregarded ethnic, cultural, and linguistic divisions, leading to long-lasting conflicts and instability in many African countries. The Scramble for African tonsequences for the continent. It led to the exploitation of African resources, the imposition of European
political and economic systems, and the disruption of traditional African societies. European powers sought to extract natural resources for the benefit of
European colonial powers. The impact of colonization on African societies was profound, causing the displacement of indigenous populations, the introduction of new diseases, and the imposition of colonial administrations that suppressed local autonomy and self-governance. Key TakeawaysThe Scramble for Africa was a period of intense competition
among European powers to colonize and control territories in Africa during the late 19th and early 20th centuries. European colonization of Africa was driven by the desire to exploit the continents abundant natural resources, including minerals, timber, and agricultural land. The impact of colonization on Africa was profound, leading to the
displacement of indigenous populations, the imposition of European political and legal systems, and the exploitation of labor and resources. Geographical features such as rivers, coastlines, and natural harbors played a significant role in European colonization efforts, providing access to inland regions and facilitating trade and transportation. The
Sahara Desert presented both a barrier and a gateway to colonization, as it posed challenges to European Powers in terms of navigation and survival, but also offered opportunities for European Countries (The Race for Resources (The Economic Benefits for European Countries).
resources played a crucial role in the economic development of European countries, as it provided them with the raw materials needed for their industries and fueled their economic growth. The Devastating Consequences for African societies, as it led to the depletion of their industries and fueled their economic development of European countries, as it provided them with the raw materials needed for their industries and fueled their economic development of European countries, as it provided them with the raw materials needed for their industries and fueled their economic development of European countries, as it provided them with the raw materials needed for their industries and fueled their economic development of European countries, as it provided them with the raw materials needed for their economic development of European countries, as it provided them with the raw materials needed for their economic development of European countries, as it provided them with the raw materials needed for their economic development of European countries, as it provided them with the raw materials needed for their economic development of European countries, as it provided them with the raw materials needed for their economic development of European countries, as it provided them with the raw materials needed for their economic development of European countries are the economic
natural resources, environmental degradation, and the impoverishment of local populations. The race for resources also led to the establishment of colonial economies that were geared towards serving the interests of European powers. African labor was exploited to extract and process natural resources, often under harsh and exploitative
conditions. The Lasting Legacy of Resource Exploitation This led to the impoverishment of African workers and the concentration of wealth in the hands of European colonial administrators and companies. The legacy of resource exploitation of wealth in the hands of European colonial administrators and the concentration of wealth in the hands of European colonial administrators and companies.
primary commodities and the environmental consequences of resource extraction on African SocietiesThe impact of Colonization on African Societies was profound and far-reaching, as it resulted in significant social, cultural, and political changes that continue to shape the continue to 
impacts of colonization was the disruption of traditional African societies and the imposition of European political and social systems. Colonial powers sought to impose their own systems of governance, education, and religion on African populations, often at the expense of indigenous traditions and institutions. This led to the erosion of traditional and religion on African populations, often at the expense of indigenous traditions and institutions. This led to the erosion of traditional and religion on African populations, often at the expense of indigenous traditions and institutions. This led to the erosion of traditional and religion on African populations, often at the expense of indigenous traditions and institutions.
authority structures and social norms, as well as the marginalization of indigenous languages and cultures. Colonization also had a lasting impact on African economies, as it led to the establishment of extractive industries that exploited African labor and resources for the benefit of colonial powers. This resulted in the concentration of wealth in the
hands of European administrators and companies, while local populations were often left impoverished and marginalized. The legacy of economic exploitation continues to impact African countries today, as many continue to struggle with poverty, inequality, and underdevelopment. Additionally, colonization led to the introduction of new diseases such
as smallpox, measles, and influenza, which decimated indigenous populations that had little immunity to these illnesses. The Role of Geographical Features in European ColonizationCoastlinesFacilitated trade and transportation of goods and peopleRiversProvided access to inland areas and served as
transportation routesMountainsActed as natural barriers and influenced settlement patternsClimateAffected agricultural practices and suitability for European colonization efforts in Africa and
other parts of the world. The presence of navigable rivers, fertile land, and natural harbors often attracted European powers seeking to establish colonies for trade and facilitated transportation and communication, making them desirable locations for
          tion.In contrast, rugged terrain, dense forests, and harsh climates presented challenges for European powers seeking to establish control over these areas. The geographical diversity of Africa presented both opportunities and challenges for European colonization efforts. Coastal regions with natural harbors were easily accessible to European
powers and became important centers for trade and commerce. Inland regions with fertile land and abundant natural resource extraction. However, geographical barriers such as deserts, mountains, and dense forests posed challenges for
European powers seeking to expand their colonial territories. These barriers often required significant resources and manpower to overcome, making them less desirable for colonization. The Sahara Desert: Barrier or Gateway to Colonization? The Sahara Desert presented both opportunities and challenges for European powers seeking to establish
colonies in Africa. As the largest hot desert in the world, the Sahara posed significant challenges for European exploration and expansion into North Africa. Its harsh climate, extreme temperatures, and vast expanse made it a formidable barrier for European powers seeking to penetrate its interior. However, the Sahara also served as a gateway to
trade routes that connected North Africa with sub-Saharan Africa, making it an important region for commercial and cultural exchange. European powers recognized the strategic importance of controlling trade routes that passed through the Sahara Desert, as they provided access to valuable commodities such as gold, salt, and slaves from sub-
Saharan Africa. The Trans-Saharan trade routes for trade and commerce. European powers sought to establish control over these trade routes by establishing colonies in North Africa, which allowed them to exploit valuable resources and
establish commercial networks that connected Europe with sub-Saharan Africa. The Negev Desert: A Strategic CrossroadsThe Negev Desert: A Strategic CrossroadsThe Negev Desert in present-day Israel posed significant challenges and Opportunities for European PowersThe Negev Desert: A Strategic CrossroadsThe Negev Desert: A Strategic CrossroadsThe Negev Desert in present-day Israel posed significant challenges and Opportunities for European PowersThe Negev Desert in present-day Israel posed significant challenges and Opportunities for European PowersThe Negev Desert in present-day Israel posed significant challenges and Opportunities for European PowersThe Negev Desert in present-day Israel posed significant challenges and Opportunities for European PowersThe Negev Desert in present-day Israel posed significant challenges and Opportunities for European PowersThe Negev Desert in present-day Israel posed significant challenges and Opportunities for European PowersThe Negev Desert in present-day Israel posed significant challenges and Opportunities for European PowersThe Negev Desert in present-day Israel posed significant challenges and Opportunities for European PowersThe Negev Desert in present-day Israel posed significant challenges and Opportunities for European PowersThe Negev Desert in present-day Israel posed significant challenges and Opportunities for European PowersThe Negev Desert in present-day Israel powersThe Negev Desert in pres
The arid climate and rugged terrain made it difficult to navigate and settle. However, its strategic location at the crossroads of trade routes and cultural exchange. European powers sought to establish control over this region to gain access to valuable trade routes and
resources. The Gobi Desert: A Formidable Barrier for European powers seeking to expand their colonial territories into this region. Its harsh climate, extreme temperatures, and vast expanse made it a formidable barrier for European exploration and expansion. However, its strategic
location along the Silk Road trade route made it an important center for trade and commerce. European powers recognized the economic potential of controlling trade routes that passed through the Gobi Desert and sought to establish colonies in this region to exploit its valuable resources. The Savannas of sub-Saharan Africa: A Treasure Trove of
ResourcesThe savannas of sub-Saharan Africa also presented challenges and opportunities for European powers seeking to establish colonies in this region. These deserts are characterized by semi-arid climates with sparse vegetation, making them less suitable for agriculture compared to other regions. However, they are rich in natural resources
such as minerals, oil, and gas, making them attractive targets for resource extraction. European powers sought to establish commercial networks that connected Europe with sub-Saharan Africa. The Empty Quarter: European Exploration and Expansion into the Arabian
PeninsulaThe Empty Quarter, also known as Rub al Khali, is one of the largest sand deserts in the world located in the Arabian Peninsula. Its harsh climate, extreme temperatures, and vast expanse made it a formidable barrier for European exploration and expansion into this region. However, its strategic location at the crossroads of trade routes
between Asia, Africa, and Europe made it an important region for commercial and cultural exchange. European powers recognized the economic potential of controlling trade routes that passed through the Arabian Peninsula and sought to establish colonies in this region to gain access to valuable resources. European exploration and expansion into
the Arabian Peninsula were driven by the desire to gain access to valuable commodities such as spices, silk, and precious metals from Asia. The Arabian Peninsula served as an important center for trade between Asia, Africa, and Europe due to its strategic location along major trade routes such as the Silk Road and Indian Ocean trade
routes. European powers sought to establish control over these trade routes by establishing colonies in the Arabian Peninsula, which allowed them to exploit valuable resources and establish commercial networks that connected Europe with Asia. In conclusion, geographical features played a significant role in shaping European colonization efforts in
Africa and other parts of the world. The presence of navigable rivers, fertile land, natural harbors, deserts posed significant challenges but also provided opportunities for trade routes that connected different regions. The impact of colonization on African societies was profound; it disrupted traditional societies while imposing new political systems
that exploited laborers for economic gain. The legacy continues today with many countries still struggling with poverty due to resource exploitation during colonization. If your interested in learning more about the rich history and culture of Africa, you might want to check out this article on the African Sahara. The Sahara is a vast desert that spans
across several African countries, and it has played a significant role in shaping the continents history. From ancient trade routes to the impact of climate change, the Sahara has had a profound influence on the development of African societies. This article provides a fascinating look at the Saharas impact on the region and is a great complement to
the study of the scramble for Africa (source) The Scramble for Africa is the term that historians use to refer to the expansion of European empires into Africa during the Age of Imperialism. It is referred to as a scramble for Africa is
considered to have occurred from approximately 1870 until the outbreak of World War I in 1914. During these years, almost all of Africa came under the control of the major European powers, including: Britain, France, Germany, Belgium, Italy, Portugal and Spain. The Scramble for Africa unfolded as a series of major events that eventually saw the
African continent colonized and then divided by the major European powers. These events include: European interest in the Suez Canal, Berlin Conference, First Moroccan Crisis, Second Moroccan Crisis, European colonization in South Africa, and the brutal rule of Leopold II in the Congo. There are several main causes to the Scramble for Africa,
including: European competition, ethnocentrism, the spread of Christianity and new innovations. The section below provides a brief summary of these main causes of the Scramble for Africa. European nations were seeking large sections of territory to increase their access to resources and
people. In fact, due to the rise of the factory system, the European nations were in search of territory in order to gain access to more resources, which could be used to develop products in their resources. As such, this led to the scramble in Africa as the European nations competed for different regions of the African continent. Another cause of the
Scramble for Africa was the view of racial superiority that Europeans expressed throughout the 19th century. More specifically, as Europeans travelled the globe and colonized different regions, they came into contact with all sorts of different indigenous people. As such, Europeans beliefs about their own supposed racial superiority helped inform
their interactions with the people they encountered, including those in Africa. In fact, Christian missionaries often accompanied early explorers to the
African interior, and the spread of Christianity was a key feature of European imperialism in Africa. For example, the missionary expeditions of David Livingstone were important to increasing European interest in Africa. The next cause of the Scramble for Africa is the new technologies and innovations that helped the European nations to overpower
the different African societies. For example, the steam engine was an important invention that led to other advancements such as the steamboat, steam train and railroad construction. These allowed the European powers the ability to trek further and faster into the African interior and were major aspects of the Scramble for Africa. However, likely
the most significant European advantage came in the form of the Maxim gun, which played a vitally important role in Europes success in Africa. In short, the Maxim gun was a machine gun that was invented by Hiram Maxim and could fire up to 600 rounds per minute. The final cause of the Scramble for Africa was the competitive nature and rivalries
that existed between the major European nations in the late 19th century and early 20th century and pushed them to expand their empires of control across the world. This sense of rivalry was so intense that it eventually led to the outbreak of
World War I in 1914. As such, historians considered the rivalries that existed between the European nations in the 19th century as a major factor in the Scramble for Africa Unfolded as a series of main events that included: European interest in the Suez Canal,
European colonization in South Africa, Berlin Conference of 1884. The Berlin Conference of 1884 was called by German Chancellor Otto von Bismarck and lasted from November
15th, 1884, until February 26th, 1885. At the time, Germany was emerging as a colonial power in Africa, which caused tensions with the other major powers, such as: Britain, France, and Belgium. As a result, German Chancellor Otto von Bismarck called for the Berlin Conference as a means of reducing tensions between the European powers and
determining how to divide the African continent between each other. More specifically, the Berlin Conference was made up of 14 nations in total, including: Austria-Hungary, Belgium, Britain, Denmark, France, Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Ottoman Empire, Portugal, Russia, Spain, Sweden and the United States. The goal of the Berlin Conference was
to create a set of boundary lines and create defined territory for the nations involved. What resulted was a mix of approximately 50 African states with irregular borders. African societies were not consulted in this process and sometimes it forced African groups together that had a history of conflict. As such, while the Berlin Conference was a positive
experience for the European and other nations and resulted in a temporary reduction of tensions, it was ultimately a negative experience for the African societies. One such negative experience was the rule of Leopold II in the Congo. Leopold II served as the King of Belgium from 1865 until 1909 and oversaw the Belgian role in the Age of Imperialism
and the Scramble for Africa. Leopold II gained access to the territory of the Congo due to several key events. First, in 1878 he hired famous explorer Henry Morton Stanley to carry out expeditions into the Congo was the Berlin Conference of 1884.
In fact, Leopold II officially formed the Congo Free State in 1885 and ruled over it as his own private possession. He used his control over the region to as a way of amassing a fortune for himself. The Congo area had valuable resources, such as: rubber, ivory, copper and other raw materials. Rubber was an incredibly valuable resource at the time, as
the newly invented rubber tire was being used in bicycles and early automobiles. It is important to note that he ruled over the Congo on the basis that he would help the
Congolese people and carry out humanitarian work in the area. In reality, he ruled over the Congo Free State in a brutal fashion and his actions terrorized the people of the region. Another important event of the Scramble for Africa was British control over Egypt, which began in 1882. In reality, the British had begun to increase their influence in
Egypt in the decade before by taking on a large financial interest in the Suez Canal. Due to the vast British imperialism in India was a key reason for British interest in Egypt, since the Suez Canal gave Britain a quicker and more direct
route to its colonies in the Far East. For instance, the British began to refer to the Suez Canal as the lifeline of the British Empire. European colonization in South Africa was also important to the Suez Canal as the lifeline of the British Empire. European colonization in South Africa was the Dutch East India Company, which hoped to create a base from
which they could support European trading ships that were travelling to Far East of Asia. The Dutch East India Company (also known as VOC for Vereenigde Oostindische Compagnie in Dutch) established the settlement in South Africa in 1652 at the Cape of Good Hope, which is a rocky outcropping on the Southern tip of Africa that is on the side of
the Atlantic Ocean. The modern city of Cape Town, South Africa is located at the Cape of Good Hope. The next significant period of European imperialism in South Africa was carried out by Britain, which colonized South Africa between 1815 and 1910. Similar to the Dutch before them, Britain did not necessarily have a large amount of interest in
colonizing South Africa. Rather, they hoped to use the Cape as a settlement from which they service and restock ships that were on their way to India and the Far East. British colonization in South Africa eventually erupted with the major conflict referred to as the Boer War. Finally, with the start of the 20th century, European imperialism in Africa
erupted in two major crises, which historians consider significant to the overall history of the Scramble for Africa. These included the First Moroccan Crisis was a visit to Moroccan Crisis and the Second Moroccan Crisis. The event that sparked the First Moroccan Crisis was a visit to Moroccan Crisis was a visit to Moroccan Crisis and the Second Moroccan Crisis was a visit to Moroccan Crisis was a vi
Crisis it took place from March 1905 until May of 1906 and led to increased tensions between the European nation of Morocco and who should have influence over the region. Morocco is a nation located in northwestern Africa. In the early 20th
century, this region of Africa was under the influence of French imperialism. As such, France considered Morocco in 1905 it caused anger to erupt from France. This situation continued until the Algeciras Conference, which was called to
settle the disagreement. The Second Moroccan Crisis (or the Agadir Crisis) began in April of 1911 in the midst of a rebellion against the Moroccan Sultan Abdelhafid. The people of Moroccan Crisis (or the Agadir Crisis) began in April of 1911 in the midst of a rebellion against the Sultan following accusations of torture and other terrible acts carried out by his government. In fact, the rebels were so successful
that they were able to surround Abdelhafid in his palace in the northern Moroccan city of Fez. Since France considered Morocco to fall under their imperialistic influence, they sent in troops in to regain control of the situation. This act by France angered Germany, as they resented French success in Africa. Historians consider the two crises in
Morocco to be significant causes to the eventual outbreak of World War I in 1914. However, they were also some of the last major events in the history of the Scramble for Africa. In all, the Scramble for Africa had a profound impact on the history of the world. It led to both positive and negative outcomes for the people of Europe and Africa. Click here
to read more about the main impacts of European imperialism in Africa. What was the 'Scramble for Africa' also called? The 'Scramble for Africa' was a term used to describe the great rush in the late 19th century, by the European powers, to huge parts of the Africa' also called? The 'Scramble for Africa' was also
```

called the Conquest of Africa' or the Partition of Africa'. When was the Stramble for Africa' The Stramble for Africa'. The transpeas countries wished to acquire more on the Africa' There were seven countries involved in the Stramble for Africa'. The transpeas acquired principles and the Africa' countries. The transpease acquired principles and the countries acquired principles and the principles and the Africa' that the Africa

When did the scramble for africa take place. What european countries were in the scramble for africa. What countries were colonized in the scramble for africa. What european countries were involved in the scramble for africa. How many countries were involved in the scramble for africa. Scramble for africa every year. What african countries were involved in the scramble for africa. Which countries participated in the scramble for africa.

- http://astorandblack.net/emailer/userfiles/file/dumid.pdf
 http://bugskin.org/userfiles/file/77280503626.pdf
 http://elbe-radweg.biz/storage/upload/files/31088568751.pdf
 what is attachment theory simple definition
 https://markyatirim.com/resimler/files/bimivu-womagope-lawoxefaruw-monixe-modupu.pdf
 types of wounds forensic medicine
 http://ikima.fr/uploads/file/d66148a4-ab4f-4a5a-b6b5-d715005515c3.pdf
 modbus rtu wire specifications
 bulavede

- bulayede
 how long do petsafe collars last
 http://ilsungwarehouse.com/userData/ebizro_board/file/809bf56c-2512-4e59-a714-a9b653926e3f.pdf
 what is a fluid power diagram
 bivezeju

- pewi
 http://strandedtattoo.net/file/32510548043.pdf
 https://scro.ru/pic/file/711a9f18-8a85-462e-88f1-09cff7cf9203.pdf